

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/898,389	07/03/2001		Zhaocheng Wang	450117-03249	3617	
20999	7590	04/04/2006		EXAMINER		
		ENCE & HAUG	TSEGAYE, SABA			
	FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. W YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			2616		
				DATE MAIL ED: 04/04/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/898,389	WANG ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Saba Tsegaye	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ja This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 17-42 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 17-42 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notic	t(s) be of References Cited (PTO-892) be of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F					
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>01/23/06</u> .	6) 🔲 Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed 01/23/06. Claims 17-42 are pending. Currently no claims are in condition for allowance.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 41 is objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 41 a period is missing. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 31, 32, 35-42 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 31,

Line 5, the phrase "said first pilot symbols and second pilot symbols" lacks antecedence basis.

Line 6, the phrase "the time as well as in the frequency" "lacks antecedence basis.

Claim 35, lines 9-10, the phrase "the frequency and time dimension" "lacks antecedence basis.

Claim 37, line 10, the phrases "the frequency and time dimension" and "the different manner" "lack antecedence basis.

Claim 41, line 8, the phrase "the frequency and time dimension" "lacks antecedence basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 17-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dabak et al. (US 6,728,302) in view of Greenstein et al. (US 6,131,016).

Regarding claims 17, 23, 25, 27, 29, 31, 33, 35, 37, and 39, Dabak discloses a transmitting device for transmitting signals in a wireless communication system with multiple transmission antennas comprising; a first and a second antenna means (Ant1 and Ant2) being arranged spaced apart from each other in a space diversity arrangement (column 2, lines 26-33); and pilot symbol generating means (100, 102) for generating pilot symbols to be transmitted among the data of the first and the second data stream (see fig. 3, as shown in fig. 1, multiplex circuit 118 selectively applies the pilot symbols at leads 100 and 102 to leads 120 and 122, respectively, at a time corresponding to pilot symbols), whereby first pilot symbols are transmitted via the first antenna and second pilot symbols are transmitted via the second antenna (column 2, lines 45-59). However, Dabak does not expressly disclose transmitting the first and the second data stream, respectively, in OFDM signal.

Greenstein teaches a transmit diversity, that is, transmission along multiple antennas (15, 16) at a transmitting base station (10). The transmit diversity can be combined with the transmission of a multiple carrier tone signals such as an orthogonal frequency division

multiplexing signal that includes **one or more pilot tones** (see figs. 1-4 and column 1, lines 48-62; column 2, lines 44-52).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use OFDM signal, such as that suggested by Greenstein, in the Dabak system. The motivation is that OFDM eliminates the requirement for guard bands to separate the frequencies and thereby avoid interference from adjacent RF channels.

Regarding claims 18, 24, 26, 28,30, 32, 34, 36, 38, and 40, Dabak discloses all the claim limitations as stated above. Further, Dabak discloses that the pilot symbols at leads 100 and 102 are applied to multiplex circuit 118. Multiplex circuit 118 selectively applies the pilot symbols at leads 100 and 102 to leads 120 and 122, respectively, at a time corresponding to pilot symbols. However, Dabak dose not disclose transmitting the first and the second pilot symbols having the same frequency and time allocation are alternatingly identical and orthogonal to each other in the frequency and time dimension.

Greenstein teaches the principles of OFDM in combination with a plurality of transmitting antennas. Further, Greenstein teaches multi-carrier tones that comprise a plurality of carrier frequencies, which are transmitted substantially at the same time (see figs. 1 and 3, column 2, lines 32-52). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use OFDM signal, such as that suggested by Greenstein, in the Dabak system. The motivation is that OFDM eliminates the requirement for guard bands to separate the frequencies and thereby avoid interference from adjacent RF channels.

Regarding claims 19 and 20, Dabak discloses, in fig. 4, receiving device for receiving signal in a wireless system with space time transmit diversity comprising: a single antenna means (400) for receiving STTD encoded signals transmitted from a first (Ant1) and a second (Ant2) antenna means of a transmitting device (fig. 1) of communication system, the first (Ant1) and the second (Ant2) antenna means transmitting corresponding pilot symbols respectively, processing means (404) for detecting pilot symbols in the received signals, for processing detected pilot symbols and performing a channel estimation on the basis of the processing to separately determine the transmission quality of signal transmitted from each of the first (Ant1) and the second (Ant2) antenna means (column 4, lines 25-57). However, Dabak dose not disclose the first and second pilot symbols correspond to one anther and have the same frequency and time allocation and a regular distribution in the time frequency dimension in the OFDM system, and wherein pairs of first pilot symbols adjacent in the frequency dimension are respectively orthogonal to the corresponding pairs of second pilot symbols and pairs of first pilot symbols adjacent in the time dimension are respectively orthogonal to the corresponding pairs of second pilot symbols.

Greenstein teaches a transmit diversity, that is, transmission along multiple antennas (15, 16) at a transmitting base station (10). The transmit diversity can be combined with the transmission of a multiple carrier tone signals such as an orthogonal frequency division multiplexing signal that includes **one or more pilot tones** (see figs. 1-4 and column 1, lines 48-62; column 2, lines 44-52).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use OFDM signal, such as that suggested by Greenstein, in the Dabak system. The

data stream, respectively, in OFDM signal.

Art Unit: 2616

motivation is that OFDM eliminates the requirement for guard bands to separate the frequencies and thereby avoid interference from adjacent RF channels and enhance the reception of the

information signals at the wireless communication terminal (column 1, lines 60-61).

Regarding claim 21, Dabak discloses a transmitting device for transmitting signals in a wireless communication system with multiple transmission antennas comprising; a first and a second antenna means (Ant1 and Ant2) being arranged spaced apart from each other in a space diversity arrangement (column 2, lines 26-33); and pilot generating means (100, 102) for generating pilot symbols to be transmitted among the data of the first and the second data stream, whereby first pilot symbols are transmitted via the first antenna and second pilot symbols are transmitted via the second antenna (column 2, lines 45-59); receiving the pilot symbols in a single antenna or a receiving device; and processing the pilot symbols and performing a channel estimation on the basis of the processing to separately determine the transmission quality of signal transmitted from each of the first (Ant1) and the second (Ant2) antenna means (column 4, lines 25-57). However, Dabak does not expressly disclose transmitting the first and the second

Greenstein teaches a transmit diversity, that is, transmission along multiple antennas (15, 16) at a transmitting base station (10). The transmit diversity can be combined with the transmission of a multiple carrier tone signals such as an orthogonal frequency division multiplexing signal that includes **one or more pilot tones** (see figs. 1-4 and column 1, lines 48-62; column 2, lines 44-52; column 8, lines 5-8).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use OFDM signal, such as that suggested by Greenstein, in the Dabak system. The motivation is that OFDM eliminates the requirement for guard bands to separate the frequencies and thereby avoid interference from adjacent RF channels and enhance the reception of the information signals at the wireless communication terminal (column 1, lines 60-61).

Regarding claim 22, Dabak discloses all the claim limitations as stated above. Further, Dabak discloses that the pilot symbols at leads 100 and 102 are applied to multiplex circuit 118. Multiplex circuit 118 selectively applies the pilot symbols at leads 100 and 102 to leads 120 and 122, respectively, at a time corresponding to pilot symbols. However, Dabak dose not disclose transmitting the first and the second pilot symbols having the same frequency and time allocation are alternatingly identical and orthogonal to each other in the frequency and time dimension.

Greenstein teaches the principles of OFDM in combination with a plurality of transmitting antennas. Further, Greenstein teaches multi-carrier tones that comprise a plurality of carrier frequencies, which are transmitted substantially at the same time (see figs. 1 and 3, column 2, lines 32-52). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use OFDM signal, such as that suggested by Greenstein, in the Dabak system. The motivation is that OFDM eliminates the requirement for guard bands to separate the frequencies and thereby avoid interference from adjacent RF channels.

Regarding claim 41, Dabak discloses a transmitting device for transmitting signals in a wireless communication system with multiple transmission antennas; the device comprising:

Symbol generating means for generating the data symbols and the pilot symbols, wherein the symbol generating means generates first pilot symbols and second pilot symbols, wherein the first pilot symbols and second pilot symbols are of the same type, and a first transmission antenna of the plurality of transmission antennas transmits the first pilot symbols and a second transmission antenna of the plurality of transmission antennas transmits the second pilot symbols (see figs. 2 and 3; column 2, lines 26-59). However, Dabak does not expressly disclose transmitting the first and the second data stream, respectively, in OFDM signal.

Page 8

Greenstein teaches a transmit diversity, that is, transmission along multiple antennas (15, 16) at a transmitting base station (10). The transmit diversity can be combined with the transmission of a multiple carrier tone signals such as an orthogonal frequency division multiplexing signal that includes **one or more pilot tones** (see figs. 1-4 and column 1, lines 48-62; column 2, lines 44-52).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use OFDM signal, such as that suggested by Greenstein, in the Dabak system. The motivation is that OFDM eliminates the requirement for guard bands to separate the frequencies and thereby avoid interference from adjacent RF channels.

Regarding claim 42, Dabak discloses all the claim limitations as stated above. Further,

Dabak discloses that the pilot symbols at leads 100 and 102 are applied to multiplex circuit 118.

Multiplex circuit 118 selectively applies the pilot symbols at leads 100 and 102 to leads 120 and 122, respectively, at a time corresponding to pilot symbols. However, Dabak dose not disclose

transmitting the first and the second pilot symbols having the same frequency and time allocation are alternatingly identical and orthogonal to each other in the frequency and time dimension.

Greenstein teaches the principles of OFDM in combination with a plurality of transmitting antennas. Further, Greenstein teaches multi-carrier tones that comprise a plurality of carrier frequencies, which are transmitted substantially at the same time (see figs. 1 and 3, column 2, lines 32-52). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use OFDM signal, such as that suggested by Greenstein, in the Dabak system. The motivation is that OFDM eliminates the requirement for guard bands to separate the frequencies and thereby avoid interference from adjacent RF channels.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 17-42 have been considered but are moot in 6. view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 09/898,389 Page 10

Art Unit: 2616

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Saba Tsegaye whose telephone number is (571) 272-3091. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-5:00), First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doris To can be reached on (571) 272-7629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ST March 27, 2006

> DORIS H. TO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600